

LATTEYS INDUSTRIES LIMITED

(CIN No.: L29120GJ2013PLG074281) Plot No. 16, Phase 1/2, GIDC Estate, Naroda, Ahmedabad -382330, Gujarat, India

Dated: 11.09.2024

To,

The Manager, Listing Department National Stock Exchange of India Ltd. Exchange Plaza, Plot No. C/1, G-Block, Bandra-Kurla Complex, Bandra (East) Mumbai-400051, Maharshtra, India

Scrip Name : LATTEYS Sub. : Intimation of Award of Work Order of approx. 3 Cr from the OEMs, to supply product to Government under Kusum Yojna.

Dear Sir/Mam

Pursuant to the relevant provisions of SEBI (Listing Obligation and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 we would like to inform you that our Company has received an order supply of Solar Submersible Pumps to the MSEDCL Department through our OEM worth of Rs 3.00 Cr plus GST.

Also Further note that, the details required as under SEBI Circular dated Septemeber 09,2015 for Corporate Announcement filed under Regulation 30 of SEBI(LODR) Regulations, 2015 enclosed herewith.

Kindly take this information on your records and acknowledge the receipt.

Thanking You Yours Faithfully For, Latteys Industries Limited

Sonika Jain Company Secretary & Compliance Officer





Additional Details required under SEBI Circular dated September 09,2015 for Corporate Announcement filed under Regulation 30 of SEBI (LODR) Regulations, 2015

S.N.	Particulars	Details
1	Name of the entity awarding the contract	OEMs
2	Significant Terms & Conditions of order(s) contract(s) awarded in brief	For Supply of Solar Submersible Pumps
3	Whether Contract(s)/Order(s) have been awarded by Domestic/International Entity	Domestic Entity
4	Nature of Order(s)/Contract(s)	Work Order
5	Time period by which the Order(s)/Contract(s) is to be	Three Months from the
	executed	date of letter of Award
6	Broad Consideration or Size of the Order	Approx 3.00Cr plus GST
7	Whether Promoter/Promoter Group/Group Companies have any interest in the entity that awarded Order(s)/Contract(s), if yes nature of interest and details thereof	Not Applicable
8.	Whether Order(s)/Contract(s) would fall within the related party transactions? If yes, whether the same is done at Arm's Length	Not Applicable